

EXHIBIT N

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

MARK I. SOKOLOW, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

THE PALESTINE LIBERATION
ORGANIZATION, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 04cv397 (GBD) (RLE)

**DEFENDANTS' OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO THE SOKOLOW FAMILY
PLAINTIFFS' SECOND REQUEST TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS AND THINGS**

Defendants The Palestine Liberation Organization ("PLO") and The Palestinian Authority ("PA") (collectively "Defendants"), by counsel, and pursuant to Rule 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure hereby respectfully submit their Objections and Responses to the Sokolow Family Plaintiffs' Second Request to Produce Documents and Things and state as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. The objections and responses by Defendants to the Requests are based only upon such information and documents as are currently known to Defendants. These objections and responses are made subject to, without prejudice to, and are not in waiver of, Defendants' right to rely on other facts or documents at trial or to supplement their objections and responses hereto.

2. The exact wording of any objections or responses contained herein may be that of Defendants' counsel and does not necessarily purport to be that of Defendants.

3. To the extent that any of the Requests seek the disclosure of information or documents protected from disclosure by any applicable privilege (including, but not limited to, the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, state secrets, or other statutory or common law privileges), Defendants object to such Requests and will identify the information or documents in the manner and to the extent required by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Local Rules of this Court, and Paragraph 6 of the Scheduling Order issued by the Court on June 24, 2011 (DE 131).

4. Any document production by Defendants in response to the Requests shall not be deemed a waiver of any objection Defendants may wish to interpose at any proceeding, hearing, or trial with respect to the matters disclosed thereby or with respect to the relevancy, materiality, competency, or admissibility of the information referenced or contained in the document(s) produced. Any document production is without prejudice to, or waiver of, any objection Defendants may make to any future use of such document. In making any document production, Defendants are not conceding that particular documents are those of the PA or PLO. Further, Defendants make the objections herein without in any way implying that they consider the Requests, any responses, or any productions in response to the Requests to be relevant or material to this case.

5. A response to a Request stating objections and/or indicating that documents will be produced shall not be deemed or construed to mean that there are, in fact, responsive documents or that the referenced information or documents exist or fall within the possession, custody, or control of the Defendants. Nor shall a response to a Request stating objections and/or indicating that documents will be produced be deemed or construed to mean that Defendants concede the truth or existence of any purported facts described in the Request or in

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that, on this 21st day of December 2012, a true and genuine copy of the foregoing was served by certified mail on the following:

Robert J. Tolchin, Esq.
The Berkman Law Office, LLC
111 Livingston Street – Suite 1928
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-3627
(718) 855-4696
rjt@tolchinlaw.com

David I. Schoen
David I. Schoen, Attorney At Law
2800 Zelda Road, Suite 100-6
Montgomery, AL 36106
(334)-395-6611
(334)-272-0529 (fax)
dschoen593@aol.com

Brian A. Hill

Brian A. Hill